1742

TRANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. 10503-US (General Patent Pending) In Re Application Of: Yves Michael Henuset et al. Filing Date Examiner. Group Art Unit Serial No. 09/782,279 February 14, 2001 William T. Leader 1742 Title: FLOW-THROUGH ELECTROCHEMICAL REACTOR FOR WASTEWATER **TREATMENT** TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is: - Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) In the above identified application. No additional fee is requied. □ A check in the Amount of is attached. ■ The assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 13-1717 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. Charge the amount of Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required.

George M. MacGregor 37,547

Marks & Clerk Canada P.O. Box 957 Station B Ottawa, ON, K1P 5S7 Phone: (613) 236-9561



Dated:

I certify that this document and fee being deposited on with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

06/03/2004

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

OIPE CISS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

e Application of:

Yves Michael Henuset et al.

For

FLOW-THROUGH ELECTROCHEMICAL REACTOR FOR

WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Serial No.

09/782,279

Filed

February 14, 2001

Examiner

William T. Leader

Art Unit

1742

Our File

10503-US

June 3, 2004

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 U. S. A.

Sir:

This is in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) dated May 11, 2004.

In the Notice, a copy of which is attached, there is an indication that the amendment to the claims is rejected under paragraph 4C on the basis that each claims has not been provided with a proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified.

The notice does not provide the filing date of the non-compliant amendment document and as a result it is not clear whether it refers to the response after final rejection filed February 17, 2004 and subsequently identified as the submission with the RCE filed March 17, 2004 or whether it refers to the preliminary amendment filed March 30, 2004.

In order to fully comply with the requirements there is submitted herewith a full copy of each of the claim sets filed in both of the two above-identified amendments.

In the response filed February 17, 2004 it would appear that all of the claims namely claims 1 to 28 are identified as either being currently amended, cancelled, previously amended or new.

In the set of claims filed March 30, 2004 as a preliminary amendment, claims 1 through 28 are identified as being currently amended, cancelled, previously amended or previously added.

In summary, therefore, it is believed that the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 were fully met with the amendments filed on both of the above dates.

If some aspects of these amendments are non-compliant it would be appreciated if a telephone interview could be conducted to point out the omissions in the status identifiers of the claims.

Respectfully subjinitted,

George M. MacGregor, Reg. No. 37,547

Marks & Clerk

P.O. Box 957, Station B 280 Slater Street, Suite 1800 Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

K1P 5S7

Telephone No.: (613) 236.9561 Facsimile No.: (613) 230-8821

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 150 150 150 90v

1000	TO TO THE		P.O. Box 14 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-14 www.usplo.g
	JUN O 1 2004 E		Doman No.
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.1	21)
37 CF be cor docur	mendment document filed on	is considered non-compliant because it has fa 0, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order foing item(s) is required. Only the corrected section of the n ts entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims"	niled to meet the requirements of r the amendment document to on-compliant amendment
THE	FOLLOWING CHECKED (X) I	TEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO I	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	1. Amendments to the specifi	cation:	
	A. Amended paragram	aph(s) do not include markings.) should not be underlined.	
`	☐ B. New paragraph(s☐ C. Other) Should not be under miss.	
	2. Abstract:	27 CER 1 72	
		a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.	
	D. Outor		
	3. Amendments to the drawir	ngs:	
4	B. The listing of cla C. Each claim has no	ng of all of the claims is not present. ims does not include the text of all claims (including withdrot been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such	ch, the individual status of each
For fi	urther explanation of the amendn www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dar	nent format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 op/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf	and the USPTO website at
this le non-e chang	etter to supply the corrected sect	PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT, applicant is given ONl ion which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply nent and examination on the merits will commence without (s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and	at consideration of the proposed
since	the amendment appears to be a	reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a bona fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), application is notice within which to re-submit the corrected section when ENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE	nich complies with 37 CFR 1.121
If the	amendment is a reply to a FIN	AL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an A less to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is n	Advisory Action. The period for
	of the amendment. (Instruments Examiner (LIE)	$\left(\frac{57/)278 - 1023}{\text{Telephone No.}}\right)$	
	•		